

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/506,489	02/18/2000	Hiroaki Miura	040679/1012	8527	
7	7590 12/19/2001				
Foley & Lardner Washington Harbour 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007-5109			EXAMINER		
			PIERCE, JEREMY R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
···			1771	(-	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2001	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			_		A3-6			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/506,489	9	MIURA ET AL.				
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeremy R.	Pierce	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply		DEDLY IS SET TO	O EVDIDE 4 MONTH	e) EDOM				
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON  - If the period for re  - If NO period for re  - Failure to reply wi  - Any reply received	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA e may be available under the provisions of 3 MTHS from the mailing date of this communically specified above is less than thirty (30) date of the specified above, the maximum statutor within the set or extended period for reply will, do by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. 195, a reply within the statul ry period will apply and will by statute, cause the applie	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>18 February 20</u> 0	<u>00</u> .					
2a) This ac	tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is r	non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	aims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	1-14 are subject to restriction a	and/or election requ	uirement.					
<b>Application Pape</b>	rs							
9) The spec	ification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
10) The draw	ring(s) filed on is/are: a)[	accepted or b)	objected to by the Exar	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath	or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.						
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowl	ledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)∐ All b)	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.☐ Ce	ertified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been	received.					
2. 🗌 Ce	ertified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been	received in Application	on No				
	opies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation the action for the control of the contro	onal Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
	dgment is made of a claim for d		·		application).			
. —	translation of the foreign langua				. ,			
	dgment is made of a claim for o	•						
Attachment(s)								
· =	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- dosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948)	4)	(PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 09/506,489

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-2, drawn to sound absorbing material, classified in class 442, subclass 327.
  - II. Claims 3-7, 13, and 14, drawn to interior material, classified in class 442, subclass 381.
  - III. Claims 8-12, drawn to a method for producing an interior material, classified in class 156, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a filling material for a composite and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/506,489

Art Unit: 1771

one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a process of making a composite as a filling material.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by heat-treating the material at a temperature not lower than the polyester fiber (E).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Richard L. Schwaab on December 14, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy R. Pierce whose telephone number is (703) 605-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4:30 and alternate Fridays 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jeremy R. Pierce

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

December 17, 2001

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER